

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,138	05/01/2006	Rajendra Narayanrao Kankan	TPP31790	1165
7590 01/04/2008 Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher 1615 L Street N W Suite 850 Washington, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	20020		1625	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/563,138	KANKAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) . Notice of Informal F 6) . Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/563,138

Art Unit: 1625

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. According to a preliminary amendment filed on Jan. 3, 2006, the applicants have amended claims 4-8, 10, 11, 13 and 17-20.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In independent claim 1 as well as in claim 12, the term --- substantially --- is indefinite since its meaning is not clear. The meaning of this term is not defined in the specification. In the instant claims, the solvent is replaced either partially or completely with a non-solvent and therefore, the actual intent of this term is not clear.

In claim 6, the term --- obtained further to addition of finasteride to said solvent ---- is vague and indefinite since its meaning or the actual intent is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

10/563,138 Art Unit: 1625

5. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by McCauley (U.S. Patent 5,652,365, cited on applicant's form 1449).

McCauley discloses Finasteride form I and teaches inhibition of 5-alpha reductase by finasteride as well as its use for treating various disease conditions (see col. 1, lines 15-22 as well as example 3 and claims 1-2). The Finasteride form I disclosed by McCauley in example 3 as well as claims 1-2 clearly anticipates the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10/563,138

Art Unit: 1625

8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCauley (U.S. patent 5,652,365, cited on applicant's form 1449).

McCauley discloses a process for preparing polymorphic form I of Finasteride (see col. 6, lines 4-64 as well as example 3 and claims 1-2). The process disclosed by McCauley meets all the limitations of instant claims except McCauley does not teach replacing the solvent with a non-solvent. However, McCauley uses exactly the same non-solvents such as toluene, water or isopropyl acetate as used in the instant process for crystallizing Finasteride form I. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare Finasteride form I by crystallizing it from a solution of finasteride in a water immiscible solvents such as toluene or isopropyl acetate unless applicants provide unexpected result of their process over the process disclosed by McCauley either in example 3 or claims 1-2.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/563,138 Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625